

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/505,192	02/16/2000	Yoshiharu Hashimoto	Q57919	6549
7:	590 09/26/2002	·		
MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3203			NGUYEN, KEVIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/505,192	HASHIMOTO, YOSHIHARU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kėvin M. Nguyen	2674		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 9/17/2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	places the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE 706.07(f).	date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amous fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nt of the fee. The appropriate extension iginally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the per 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	по арроси.
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (se	e NOTE below):
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	· · · · ·
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materi issues for appeal; and/or	ally reducing or simplifying the
(d) Method they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fin	ally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sep canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	parate, timely filed amendment
<ul> <li>5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been the application in condition for allowance because:</li> <li>6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ul>	·
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 9-13</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ /apar/oved or b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement (\$1,000) Paper No(s).	<u></u> ;
10. Other:	
RICHARD HJERPE	
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXACTIONS	Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit: 2674

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the reasons cited in the Final Office action. Claim 1, Yanagi et al are relied upon teaching a selection control circuit 50 selecting the gray scale voltage V1 to V7 based on the digital video data of 3 bits d0, d1, d2 (at least one bit) and output the voltage S(i) (see figure 1, table 2,col. 18, lines 46 to col. 19, line 33). Claim 2 raises new issues "...one end of resistor... another end of resistor... wherein said other end of said resistor is connected to said display device."